HSQE Inewsletter

∀ VitalSkills.co.uk

Online training courses from HSQE Ltd



Inspiring positive change 2002 - 2022

Customer had fatal reaction to 'vegan' wrap

Summary

A woman with an acute dairy allergy died after eating a wrap labelled as vegan despite containing traces of milk, a coroner has ruled.

What happened?

The inquest was told that they woman "religiously avoided" dairy after a near-fatal experience months prior. On that occasion, she needed 15 shots of adrenaline after suffering an allergic reaction.

On 27 December 2017, she was on shopping trip with her family members, when she went into a shop to buy a super veg rainbow flatbread. She was declared dead less than two hours later, having collapsed in the street and despite the efforts of passers-by and paramedics to save her.

The coroner said that she suffered an anaphylactic shock after eating the wrap.

How did things go wrong?

The protein was in an ingredient called Coyo that contained an extra ingredient called HG1.

"The contamination arose because the ingredient HG1 was cross-contaminated during manufacture," said the coroner.

"The manufacturer of the dairy-free yoghurt had in its possession documents which flagged this risk, but this risk was not passed on to its customers," the coroner ruled.

The coroner said: "A product which is marked dairy free should be, free from dairy."

In her verdict, the coroner also said: "[The woman] was not aware the wrap contained milk protein."

Anything else?

The retailer that sold the product acknowledged the coroners findings and "It goes without saying that if [we] had ever known that the Coyo yoghurt may have contained milk, we would have never used the ingredient."

He went on to say that his company had taken "significant steps" involving its suppliers and labelling policies since the woman's death to ensure all customers were informed about the food they were buying.

The managing director of the manufacturer told the inquest that she was unaware of the risk the starch posed, and had been assured the yoghurt's ingredients were safe for people with allergens by the company's founder.

Everyone deserves to be treated with respect

Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Awareness



📿 CPD Approved

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection



Our Equality, diversity and inclusion online training course raises awareness of the differences that individuals may have and why they must be treated equally.



IOSH Managing Safely® plus 5 bonus courses at no extra cost





£125.00 +VAT per learner



Immediate start



100% online



IOSH-approved tutor support



Use PC, tablet or smartphone



Complete in 16-24 hours



Email us at newsletter@hsge.co.uk to subscribe to this free newsletter

No hidden fees



"OUTSTANDING"

During the IOSH quality assurance review



training provider 980



- We will credit you with a Mix and Match 5 bundle with every IOSH Managing Safely ® purchase, at no extra cost to you.
- You can choose your 5 courses from a selection of over 50 courses marked 'In the Mix and Match 5 selection' at the back of this newsletter



Roofing contractor fined after a worker fell from a roof and died

Summary

A North West roofing contractor has been fined after an employee fell from a roof ladder and died at the scene.

What happened?

In May 2021, roof replacement work was being carried out on a domestic property in Burnley. On the final day on site, an employee of the company was climbing a triple extending access ladder on the roof, to reach scaffolding at eaves level, whilst carrying a pile of slate on their shoulder. They slipped and fell to the ground, sustaining fatal injuries.

How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the interlocking sections of the ladder they had been negotiating did not allow for three points of contact to be maintained, especially when a load was being carried: a single pole access ladder would have enabled this.

The employee had also been wearing loose fitting footwear, which had not allowed for a firm foothold on the ladder. There was no safe means of transporting materials to the roof, such as a gin wheel and bucket, which would have avoided the need for carrying loads via the ladder. Had these measures been taken, proper contact could have been maintained and the incident avoided. The investigation also found that the company did not have any employer's liability insurance in place to protect workers.

What was the outcome?

The owner of the company pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 4(1) of The Work at Height Regulations 2005 and Section 1(1) of the Employers' Liability (Compulsory Insurance) Act 1969. He was sentenced to six months in prison, suspended for two years, and ordered to pay costs of £3,600.

Anything else?

Speaking after the hearing, the HSE inspector said: "Each year falls from ladders kill a number of workers. This tragic incident could have been avoided by the selection of equipment which would have meant that three points of contact could be maintained.

"Inexpensive equipment, such as a gin wheel and bucket, could and should have been made available to avoid the need to carry materials up a ladder. Every employer needs to ensure that they have Employers Liability (Compulsory) Insurance in place to insure against liability for injury or disease to their employees arising out of their employment. Where employers are found to be in breach of this requirement, they will be held to account by HSE."

Fine for company which ignored previous enforcement action

Summary

A property development company has been fined £8,000 for failing to adequately assess the risks involved in a refurbishment project, despite having been subject to previous enforcement action.

What happened?

Health and Safety Executive (HSE) inspectors visited a construction site on 7 June 2021, during which enforcement action was taken in relation to general fire precautions, slips and trips, a failure to provide welfare, work at height, use of personal protective equipment, electrical safety, and potential exposure to asbestos.

A follow up visit to the site was then made on 21 June 2021 where further breaches of law relating to work at height and building safety were identified.

How did things go wrong?

An investigation by HSE found the company did not have the organisational capability to comply with their duties under the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015.

It also found that lessons from previous enforcement action in 2017, had been ignored.

What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015 Reg 13, was fined £8,000 and ordered to pay costs of £2,744.

Anything else?

After the hearing, the HSE inspector said: "Those in control of work have a responsibility to devise safe methods of working and to provide the necessary information, instruction, and training to their workers in the safe system of working. Companies should be aware that HSE will not hesitate to take appropriate enforcement action against those that fall below the required standards."

Company fined £6.5 million after the death of 11-yearold boy at freight terminal

Summary

The operators of Daventry International Rail Freight Terminal near Rugby, have been fined £6.5m after being found guilty of negligence over the death of an 11-year-old boy in 2017.

What happened?

The child died in June 2017 when he gained access to the depot with his friends to retrieve a football and was able to climb on top of a stationary freight wagon, where he received a fatal electric shock from the overhead line. He was pronounced dead at the scene despite efforts from paramedics.

How did things go wrong?

During a trial which lasted three weeks, the court heard how the Office of Rail and Road (ORR) investigation found that the company had not only failed to assess the risk of unauthorised access to the terminal, but also failed to implement appropriate measures to prevent unauthorised access to a part of the site where there were frequent freight movements and overhead line equipment energised at 25,000 volts.

The court also heard how the company were routinely placing freight wagons under the electrified lines for prolonged periods of time - despite the presence of a number of unelectrified sidings, enabling access to be gained to the vicinity of the high voltage cable, which would otherwise not have been accessible.

While sentencing the company, the Judge said: "In contesting this trial the defendant failed to take responsibility for a serious and obvious failing to allow public access to what is and was a dangerous environment."

What was the outcome?

The company were charged and found guilty of two offences under Section 3 (1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974 (failing to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, that persons not in their employment were exposed to risks to their health and safety through the conduct of their undertaking) and Regulation 3 of the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999 (failing to undertake a suitable and sufficient assessment of the risks to the health and safety of persons not in their employment).

The company were fined £6.5m, ordered to pay full prosecution costs of £241,463.60 and a victim surcharge of f120.

Worker hurt in fall leads to £80,000 fine for a timber company

Summary

A Devon-based timber company has been fined £80,000 after an employee fell through a stairwell while working on a barn conversion.

What happened?

The 49-year-old driver and warehouse operative was working on the barn, which was being converted for rental use on 14 May 2018.

He was trying to access the first floor of the barn from exterior scaffolding and jumped onto a piece of insulation which was covering a stairwell. The insulation gave way and the worker fractured two vertebrae in the fall.

How did things go wrong?

The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found the work was not properly planned, appropriately supervised, or carried out in a safe manner when the incident occurred. The company had a duty to control how the work was carried out, including staff supervision.

What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching Section 4 (1) of the Work at Height Regulations 2005, and was fined £80,000 and ordered to pay costs of £7,331. The company was also ordered to pay a £170 victim surcharge.



Send an email to us at newsletter@hsqe.co.uk to receive a free copy of this newsletter each month.



Two companies and two employees sentenced after 18-year-old worker's death

Summary

Two companies and two people have been sentenced after an 18-year-old construction worker was fatally injured while working on a house-build construction site.



What happened?

In July 2018, the fatally injured person (IP), and another worker, both employed by Company A, had been tasked with clearing debris from manholes at a construction site.

While work was taking place, the second worker was asked if he could move the works van to allow another vehicle to pass. However, he was not aware that the IP was lying on the road with his head and torso in a manhole, directly in front of the van.

As the vehicle moved forwards one of the wheels entered the top of the manhole contacting the IP. The IP was then taken to hospital but later died as a result of serious crush injuries.

How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that neither the Principal Contractor, (Company B), nor the groundworks sub-contractor, (Company A), had ensured that the work was planned in such a way to ensure that workers were not exposed to risks to their health and safety.

A manager within Company A for approximately 10 years, sent employees to carry out the task without a risk assessment or method statement in place despite having previously produced such information in the past.

In addition, workers had not been trained to work in a road, had not been provided with any equipment to ensure the work

was carried out safely and had not been provided with any instruction on any safety measures to be used at site.

A site manager for Company B for approximately four years, having worked in the construction industry for about 40 years, did not carry out a site induction of the IP and failed to carry out suitable checks to ensure the workers had the relevant training. The site managers also failed to ensure there was a safe system of work in place of whether there were adequate control measures.

What was the outcome?

Company A pleaded guilty to contravening

Section 2(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974. The company was fined £24,000 and ordered to pay costs of £2,264.87.

The manager from company A was found guilty of contravening Section 7(a) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974. He was sentenced to 18 weeks imprisonment suspended for two years and ordered to complete 200 hours of community service and pay costs of £1200.

Company B were found guilty of contravening Section 3(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974. They were fined £300,000 and ordered to pay costs of £15,765.92

The site manager from company B was found guilty of contravening Section 7(a) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act. He was sentenced to eight weeks imprisonment suspended for two years and ordered to pay costs of £1200.



HSE guidance refreshed to support brick, tile and stone manufacturing firms to reduce the risk of workplace silicosis

Summary

The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) has recently refreshed its silica guidance for brick and tile manufacturing, stonework and foundries ahead of manufacturing sector focused inspections in autumn/winter.

What is silica?

Silica is a natural substance found in most stone, rocks, sand, quartz and clay. Silica particles are produced during many manufacturing tasks involving these materials.

Silicosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and lung cancer can all be caused by breathing in tiny particles of silica.

Over time, exposure to silica particles can harm a worker's ability to breathe and cause irreversible, often fatal, lung disease.

Targeted inspections to start in October

Starting in October, the HSE will begin a targeted inspection initiative focusing on manufacturing business where materials that contain silica are used, to ensure they have control measures in place to protect workers' respiratory health. This will include brick and tile manufacturers, foundries, stone working sites and manufacturers of kitchen worktops.

Employers have a legal duty to put in place suitable arrangements to manage health and safety and ensure they comply with the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002 (COSHH). Inspectors will be looking for evidence that businesses have put in place effective measures, such as Local Exhaust Ventilation (LEV), water suppression and where appropriate, use of protective equipment such as Respiratory Protective (RPE), to reduce workers exposure to the RCS. The HSE has warned that if any health and safety breaches are discovered, they will take enforcement action to make sure workers' health is protected.

Further information

For Brick and tile manufacturing see:

https://www.hse.gov.uk/coshh/essentials/direct-advice/brick-tile-silica.htm

For stonework see:

https://www.hse.gov.uk/coshh/essentials/direct-advice/stonemasons.htm

For foundries see:

https://www.hse.gov.uk/coshh/essentials/direct-advice/foundry.htm

Fatal fall from height

Summary

A waste management company has been fined £190,000 after a contractor died when he fell seven metres while carrying out maintenance work.

What happened?

The experienced maintenance contractor was part of a team. He sustained fatal injuries in the fall on November 18, 2020, while working on a mechanical screening and separating plant in Westbury.

How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the company failed to ensure that work at height was properly assessed and planned. The company failed to consider and identify how the necessary work at height could be carried out safely to ensure that the risk of falls was controlled.

What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 4(1) of the Work at Height Regulations 2005. The company was fined £190,000 and ordered to pay costs of £14,816, with a victim surcharge of £190.



Send an email to us at newsletter@hsqe.co.uk to receive a free copy of this newsletter each month.



Mine owner fined £3.6M

Summary

A mining company has been fined £3.6 million after two electricians suffered severe burns in separate incidents.

What happened?

On 3 August 2016 a contract electrician at Boulby mine in Cleveland – the world's only mine to extract organic fertiliser known as polyhite – unknowingly placed a vacuum cleaner nozzle into a live electrical chamber. He sustained serious burns from the 11,000-volt electrical system and had to be airlifted to a specialist burns unit, where he was placed in an induced coma for 10 days.

On 12 February 2019, another electrical contractor made contact with a live conductor on a 415-volt electrical system during electrical testing works, and sustained serious burns. He was hospitalised for six days.

How did things go wrong?

investigators found deficiencies from the owner of the mine in risk assessment, planning of works, and shortfalls in providing warnings about which parts of the electrical systems the two electricians were working on remained live.

What was the outcome?

The owners of the mine pleaded guilty to breaching section 2 (1) and two counts of section 3(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974.

They were fined £3.6M and were was ordered to pay £185,000 in prosecution costs.

Worker's hand was cut off in machine

Summary

A grandfather whose hand was cut off while he was repairing a factory machine has said his injuries are so bad he struggles to pick up his granddaughter for a cuddle.

What happened?

The man had his hand cut off at the wrist when he was caught in the chain drive of a box-making machine while working at a factory. His hand was reattached during an 11-hour operation but he has been left with lifelong effects.

How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) discovered the company's risk assessment was neither suitable nor sufficient as it had not considered the risks created from use of the machine, including during maintenance activities. There was no safe system of work in place to ensure safe isolation and access for tasks such as maintenance.

It also found it was common practice to bypass a gate that kept people and the machine separated, and to stand within the fenced area whilst the machine was in operation, demonstrating a lack of adequate supervision. Employees had not received any instruction for the safe isolation of the machine.

What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching Section 2(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974. It was fined £115,000, to pay costs of £5,308 and a victim surcharge of £190.

t: 0333 733 1111

Anything else?

Speaking after the case, the HSE inspector said "Those in control of work have a responsibility to devise safe methods of working and to provide the necessary information, instruction and training to their workers in that safe system of working. "If a suitable safe system of work had been in place prior to the incident, the life changing injuries sustained by the employee could have been prevented."

Guidance on the risks to young workers on the HSE website

Young people are likely to be new to the workplace and so are at more risk of injury in the first six months of a job, as they may be less aware of risks.

When you employ young people under the age of 18, you have the same responsibilities for their health, safety and welfare as you do for other workers. This applies whether they are:

- a worker
- on work experience; or
- an apprentice

The Health and Safety Executive have some useful guidance for employers, young workers, parents and organisers on their website.

More information can be found at:

https://www.hse.gov.uk/voung-workers/index.htm



Climate change - EU satellite monitoring data published

Summary

The 2022 summer was the hottest on record in Europe, according to data from EU satellite monitoring.

The findings

According to data from Copernicus, this year saw a new record for both the summer as a whole and for the month of August:

- The summer was 0.4C warmer than the previous record, only set last year.
- August was 0.8C warmer than the same month in 2018.

In the UK, temperatures reached a new high of 40.3C, recorded in Coningsby in Lincolnshire on July 19. It was significantly higher than the previous record of 38.7C set in 2019.

Extremes were also seen in many other countries with 64 different areas of France experiencing record highs, while temperatures in Portugal reached 47C in July. Europe also experienced the worst drought conditions in 500 years.

Around the globe the high temperatures in August persisted widely, with drought conditions also affecting China. But at the same time, many areas had significant downpours resulting in flooding. For example in Pakistan, where wetter than average conditions triggered huge floods, leading to loss of life and property.

More info

The report can be accessed at: https://climate.copernicus.eu/

Efforts to pass global ocean protection treaty fail

An effort to pass a global agreement to protect the world's oceans and marine life has failed. Talks to pass the United Nations (UN) High Seas Treaty went on for two weeks, but governments could not agree on the terms. Despite international waters representing nearly two-thirds of the world's oceans, only 1.2% is protected.

The last international agreement on ocean protection was signed 40 years ago in 1982 - the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea. That agreement established an area called the high seas - international waters where all countries have a right to fish, ship and do research. Marine life living outside of the 1.2% of protected areas are at risk of exploitation from the increasing threats of climate change, overfishing and shipping traffic.

The negotiations focused on four key areas:

- Establishing marine protected areas
- Improving environmental impact assessments
- Providing finance and capacity building to developing countries
- Sharing of marine genetic resources biological material from plants and animals in the ocean that can have benefits for society, such as pharmaceuticals, industrial processes and food

More than 70 countries - including the UK - prior to the meeting had already agreed to put 30% of the world's oceans into protected areas.

It is not yet clear when countries will continue negotiations.

Targets pledged to tackle England sewage discharges



The government has published a plan to reduce sewage discharges into England's rivers and the sea, promising the "strictest targets ever". Water firms will have to deliver the "largest infrastructure programme in water company history", it says.

Towards the end of August, pollution warnings were in place on nearly 50 beaches after heavy rainfall led to water companies discharging untreated sewage.

Water companies discharged untreated sewage into rivers in England more than 400,000 times in 2020, according to official figures.

The plan will require water firms to invest £56bn over 25 years on improving infrastructure. It also states that by 2035 water companies will have to "improve all storm overflows discharging into or near every designated bathing water, and improve 75% of overflows discharging to high-priority nature sites".



Sustainable Fashion Week

The environmental impact from fashion

According to the United Nations, the fashion industry is responsible for between 8-10% of global emissions. That is more than the aviation and shipping industries combined.

Most of fashion's environmental impact comes from the use of raw materials, including the use of oils for synthetic materials and farmland used to grow cotton. The exploitation of garment workers in factories has also been exposed in recent years.

Sustainable Fashion Week

Sustainable Fashion Week (SFW) comprised of a catwalk show. workshops and talks promoting their ethos of 're-wear, repurpose, regenerate and reconnect'. This year was the second SFW, but the first one that hosted a catwalk. The general hope was that the fashion show, made up entirely of second-hand garments, will encourage more fashion-lovers to use charity shops for 'sustainable and great value clothes'.

SFW's tips for embracing sustainable fashion

- Repair and regenerate your old clothes
- Buy second-hand
- Go to, or organise, clothes swaps
- Rent items for special occasions
- Avoid synthetic fibres buy organic and natural fibres where possible
- Avoid fast-fashion trends and brands

More info

Find out more at: https://www.sustainablefashionweek.uk/

Study argues that switching to renewable energy could save trillions

Summary

Switching from fossil fuels to renewable energy could save the world as much as \$12tn (£10.2tn) by 2050, according to an Oxford University study.

The findings

The report's findings are based on looking at historic price data for renewables and fossil fuels and then modelling how they are likely to change in the future.

The data for fossil fuels goes from 2020 back more than 100 years and shows that after accounting for inflation, and market volatility, the price has not changed much.

Renewables have only been around for a few decades, so there is less data. But in that time continual improvements in technology have meant the cost of solar and wind power have fallen rapidly, at a rate approaching 10% a year.

The report's expectation that the price of renewables will continue to fall is based on "probabilistic" modelling, using data on how massive investment and economies of scale have made other similar technologies cheaper.

More info

The research has been published in the journal Joule. The report can be accessed at:

https://www.cell.com/joule/fulltext/S2542-4351(22)00410-X



✓ VitalSkills.co.uk

Online training courses from HSQE Ltd



- IOSH, IEMA, IATP, RoSPA & CPD assured courses
- Ideal for individual learners
- Ideal for training coordinators
- Multi-user discounts
- Bundle offers
- No hidden charges
- Immediate start
- 100% online





Online IOSH approved courses

IOSH Safety for Executives and Directors



IOSH Approved

8 hours approx.

£95.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price

IOSH Managing Safely ®



IOSH Approved

16-24 hours approx.

£125.00 + VAT

Includes a free Mix and Match 5 bundle

IOSH Working Safely



IOSH Approved

6-8 hours approx.

£60.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price

IOSH Safety Health and Environment for Construction Site Managers



IOSH Approved

16-24 hours approx.

£195 + VAT

All course fees included in the price

IOSH Safety Health and Environment for Construction Site Workers



IOSH Approved

6-8 hours approx.

£95.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price

Online IEMA approved courses

IEMA Environmental Sustainability Skills for the Workforce



IEMA Assured

6-7 hours approx.

£90.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price

IEMA Environmental Sustainability Skills for Managers



IFMA Assured

10-14 hours approx.

£125.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price



Online environmental short courses

Environmental Awareness for Construction Workers



CPD Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Environmental Awareness at Home



CPD Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Environmental Awareness at Home and Work



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Environmental Awareness at Work



CPD Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Environmental Awareness - Giving up Plastic



CPD Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

₩ VitalSkills.co.uk

Online health, safety and

welfare short courses

Abrasive Wheels Awareness



- **CPD** Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Asbestos Awareness (Category A)



- IATP & CPD Assured
- 180 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Asbestos Awareness for Architects and Designers



- IATP & CPD Assured
- 180 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Confined Space Awareness



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
 - In the Mix and Match 5 selection

COSHH Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Display Screen Equipment (DSE) Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Display Screen Equipment (DSE) Assessor Awareness



- **CPD** Assured
- 120 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection



Electrical Safety Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
 - In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Fire Extinguisher Awareness



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 60 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Fire Safety Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Fire Warden / Fire Marshall



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Infection Prevention and Control Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- - In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Ladder Safety Awareness



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Legionella Awareness



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Lone Working Awareness



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection



Manual Handling Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

n the Mix and Match 5 selection

Mental Health Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Mental Health Awareness for Managers



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Method Statement Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Moving and Handling People Awareness



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Noise Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Risk Assessment Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Sharps Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Silica Dust Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Slips and Trips Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Slips, Trips and Falls Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Stress Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

n the Mix and Match 5 selection



Stress Awareness for Managers



🤾 RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Vibration Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Work Equipment Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Working at Height Awareness



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Online safeguarding short courses

Autism Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
 - £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Child Mental Health Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Child Online Safety Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Child Sexual Exploitation Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
 - In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Designated Safeguarding Lead (Children)



- CPD Assured
- 150 minutes approx.
- £12.50 £25.00 + VAT
- Sorry not in the Mix & Match 5 selection

Designated Safeguarding Lead (Vulnerable Adults)



- 🔾 CPD Assured
-) 150 minutes approx.
- £12.50 £25.00 + VAT
- Sorry not in the Mix & Match 5 selection

Extremism and Radicalisation Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- 🗜 £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Mental Health Awareness



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
 - £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- 10.50 115.00 7711
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Mental Health Awareness for Managers



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £ £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Stress Awareness for Managers



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £ £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Safeguarding Children Level 1



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- 1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Safeguarding Children (Advanced) Level 2



- CPD Assured
- 120 minutes approx.
- £7.50 £20.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults Level 1



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults (Advanced) Level 2



- 屎 CPD Assured
- 120 minutes approx.
- F £7.50 £20.00 + VAT
- £ 17.50 £20.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Safer Recruitment Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection



Online short food safety and hygiene courses

Food Allergen Awareness



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
 - £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
 - In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Food Safety and Hygiene - Level 1



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 60 minutes approx.
- £6.00 £10.00 + VAT
- 1n the Mix and Match 5 selection

Food Safety and Hygiene (Catering) Level 2



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £12.00 + VAT
- 1n the Mix and Match 5 selection

Food Safety and Hygiene (Manufacturing) Level 2



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £12.00 + VAT
 - In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Food Safety and Hygiene (Retail) Level 2



- 🥋 RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £12.00 + VAT
- 1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

Mix and Match 5 Bundle



£ £40.00 + VAT



- Our Mix and Match 5 Bundle enables you to selfselect 5 online training courses, from a set list of courses.
- If purchased separately, these courses could cost up to £85+VAT.
- You receive an approved certificate for each of the courses that you complete.
- You have 190 days from the initial enrolment to log on and complete the courses.
- The courses do not need to be completed in one sitting.— you can log out and return any time up until the bundle is completed or until the 190 day access expires.

Value Bundle







- Our Value Bundle brings together 5 set online training courses into one money-saving bundle:
 - Asbestos Awareness (RoSPA and CPD assured)
 - COSHH Awareness (RoSPA and CPD assured)
 - Fire Warden / Fire Marshal (RoSPA and CPD assured)
 - Manual Handling Awareness (RoSPA and CPD assured)
 - Working at Height Awareness (RoSPA and CPD assured)
- If purchased separately, these courses would cost £72+VAT in total.