

# VitalSkills .co.uk

Fast | Effective | Low cost | 100% online courses

Graded
"OUTSTANDING"
during the IOSH
Quality Review
2021





# Two men injured after a cradle fell nine floors at London tower block build

### **Summary**

Two men working on a residential tower under construction in London were fortunate to escape death when a defective cradle they were in fell about 90 feet.

### What happened?

On 4 June 2020, the two men were working in the cradle at level nine of the building. The support beams for the cradle had been fitted with the wrong sized end stops, which resulted in it rolling off the end and crashing to the ground below.


One man suffered six broken ribs and a punctured lung while the other was off work for six weeks, but both were extremely fortunate to escape more serious and potentially lifethreatening injuries.

Two companies, which specialise in the provision and installation of access equipment, were fined a total of £240,000 when they were sentenced on 10 November 2023.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that company A failed to ensure that the support beams they manufactured were safe to use when they left their factory.

A second company, company B, who installed the cradle and support beams at the site, failed to identify that they were defective during their safety checks prior to commissioning.



The two men were in the cradle when it fell approximately 90 feet

### What was the outcome?

Both companies pleaded guilty to breaching Section 3(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974.

Company A was fined £120,000 and was ordered to pay £3,987 costs

Company B was fined £120,000 and was ordered to pay £3,996 costs.

### More info

Guidance on the safe use of lifting equipment is available at: <a href="https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-equipment-machinery/lift-persons.htm">https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-equipment-machinery/lift-persons.htm</a>



## 16-year-old injured on paid work experience

### **Summary**

The boy suffered serious injuries after becoming trapped under a tractor while on paid work experience relating to vehicle repair work.

### What happened?

The teenager was driving a tractor down an incline when it came off the track and overturned. He was alone and the tractor did not have a seat belt fitted. He was thrown out of his seat and his upper leg was trapped under the roof of the tractor – fortunately he was found in time by passers-by who were able to call for assistance. Emergency services attended and he was taken to hospital for treatment.

### How did things go wrong?

The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the employer had failed to adequately protect the boy through a failure of supervision, and by not providing adequate information, instruction, and training to him.

### What was the outcome?

The employer pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 2(1) of the Health and Safety At Work Act 1974. The company was ordered to pay a fine of £50,000 and costs of £9,223.

### Anything else?

HSE guidance relating to young workers can be accessed at: https://www.hse.gov.uk/young-workers/employer/index.htm Guidance on information, training and supervision can be found at: https://www.hse.gov.uk/simple-health-safety/ training/supervision.htm#article

### Worker suffered severe crush injuries at a waste firm

### Summary

A waste and recycling company in London has been fined £260,000 after a worker sustained severe crush injuries during maintenance work.

### What happened?

On 11 September 2020, the employee sustained severe injuries after becoming trapped between a conveyor and a metal bridge, which formed part of a waste sorting machine. The 48year-old had entered the machine to make repairs to the mesh of the hopper. The machine had not been isolated from all sources of energy before the repair work started and when it was inadvertently switched on, he was thrown onto the conveyor, trapping him against a metal bridge. The man suffered multiple injuries, that required 23 screws and two plates inside his body, and has not been able to return to work since.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the employer had failed to provide a suitable means to isolate the machinery from all sources of energy. The isolator switch had been broken and was therefore inoperative. The company also had no formal maintenance arrangements for the machinery.

### What was the outcome?

The employer pleaded guilty to breaching Section 2(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act. 1974.

The company was fined £260,000 and was ordered to pay £4.358 costs.





The injured man was caught between the metal bridge and the conveyor belt in the front of the photo and sustained severe crush injuries



# **IOSH Managing Safely® Special Offer**



- Graded "Outstanding" by the IOSH Quality Review 2021
- 100% online, including the final assessment
- IOSH-approved tutor support provided
- Immediate start









t: 0333 733 1111

# Man suffered horrific injuries cleaning a machine

### **Summary**

An Aberdeen joinery and manufacturing company has been fined £9,400 after one of its workers suffered horrific injuries while cleaning a machine.

### What happened?

The man was working for a manufacturer of timber windows and doors, at their premises on 1 November 2018. The then 41-year-old was conducting a thorough clean of a UV Lacquer Line machine (which is used to apply a lacquer finish to veneered door and panel products using two sets of sanders and lacquer machines) prior to its use in a production run later that day.

During this process, he noticed lacquer hanging from the lid of the machine and reached for it as he turned to the control panel to isolate the machine. However, as he did so, the glove on the little finger of his right hand got caught in the moving rollers, causing his arm to become entangled and trapped within the machine.

This resulted in his right forearm being crushed between the rollers and he underwent surgery that day for 'degloving'

injuries that he had suffered from his elbow to his hand. His injuries required multiple surgeries to treat and reconstruct his forearm with skin grafts and he ended up spending 13 days in hospital.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the machine's rollers continued rotating when the man operated the lid which demonstrated that the interlock device was not working on the day of the accident. The device was found to be defeated (with the fastenings of the actuator unbolted and the actuator stuck within the switch).

The company failed to carry out a risk assessment of the machine at the time of the incident, which should have been conducted prior to the machine's use and would have been followed by an effective check procedure that would have highlighted the defeated interlock device.

### What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching sections 2 and 33(1)(a) of the Health and Safety at Work Etc. Act 1974 and was fined £9,400.



## Labourer fractured skull in fall from height

### **Summary**

Two companies have been fined £46,000 in total after a labourer fractured his skull.



### What happened?

The man had been working on a new build house when he fell through a stairwell on 3 March 2021. He was working for company A at a construction site run by company B. The two companies had been working on the construction of four new semi-detached and five terraced houses at the site.

The worker was on the first floor in one of the new terraced properties after being instructed by the director of company A to clear up debris and mortar that had been left behind by bricklayers. He had climbed up the first floor using a ladder at the stairwell opening, which had been covered with temporary boards. The boards were slid apart to allow access to the first floor.

Intending to sweep the debris down the stairwell opening, the man lifted up one of the temporary boards and stepped forward as he was going to lean it against a wall. However, he fell through the stairwell opening onto the ground floor below as there were no floorboards underneath the temporary boards.

He fractured his skull, sustained multiple broken ribs and needed a plate to be inserted into his collarbone.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found the principal contractor (company B) and the contractor in control of the work activity (company A), had failed to plan, manage and monitor work at the site to ensure it could be carried out safely. Any worker accessing the first floor was at risk of injury due to a lack of appropriate measures for preventing falls from height.

### What was the outcome?

Company A pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 15(2) of the Construction (Design & Management) Regulation 2015. The company was fined £16,000 and ordered to pay £1,991.32 in costs.

Company B pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 13(1) of the Construction (Design & Management) Regulation 2015. The company was fined £30,000 and ordered to pay £1,991.32 in costs

### More info

HSE guidance can be found at:

https://www.hse.gov.uk/construction/safetytopics/ workingatheight.htm



# **Keep updated**

Send an email to newsletter@hsqe.co.uk to receive a free copy of this newsletter each month.

## 3 companies fined after a falling object injured a child

### **Summary**

Three companies have been fined a total of £420,000 after a slate tile fractured the skull of a three-year-old child.

### What happened?

The slate came off a roof at a hotel construction site in Weymouth and struck the young girl on 13 June 2019.

She had been leaving the hotel with her father and older brother after attending a swimming lesson.

The pre-schooler received first aid and was later taken to hospital where she was put into an induced coma to stabilise her. She then underwent a two-hour operation to remove fragments of slate from her head.

The slate had come off the hotel roof and fell approximately five metres before striking the child.

The roof was being renovated by company A.

The company had taken off the old tiles and began replacing them with new slates.

The new slates had been stacked around the roof, leading to one piece falling off.

Company A had been appointed by Company B as the principal contractor to oversee the refurbishment work which also included replacing windows and restoring chimneys and gutters.

Company C had been hired by company B as the principal designer, in charge of planning, managing and monitoring the pre-construction phase. The company failed in the planning and design stage to properly assess the risks of objects falling from height and hitting people. There was insufficient consultation and collaboration between the various parties.

### How did things go wrong?

A Health and Safety Executive (HSE) investigation into the incident found scaffolding was not fit for purpose because it did not have sufficient measures to prevent items falling such as protective fans, covered walkways or, at a minimum, brick guards around the entire perimeter. Such measures are cheap and readily available within the industry. Company B ignored requests and failed to put in measures to address an obvious hazard of falling objects coming into contact with members of the public using the busy thoroughfare to the swimming pool. The judge said Company B was more concerned about putting the convenience of guests and preventing the hotel from looking like a building site than the safety of their guests.

### What was the outcome?

Company A pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 13(1) of the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015. It was fined £160,000 and ordered to pay costs of £15,554.78.

Company B was found guilty of breaching Section 3(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974. The company was fined £200,000 and ordered to pay £143,482.04 in costs.

Company C was found guilty of breaching Regulation 11(1) of the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015. The company was fined £60,000 and ordered to pay £25,000 in costs.

### More info

HSE guidance can be found at: https://www.hse.gov.uk/ construction/safetytopics/planning.htm



The hotel where the incident occurred



The pieces of slate that hit the child

# Residents put at risk during removal of dangerous cladding

### **Summary**

A health and safety inspector found cladding lying on residents' balconies at a Liverpool apartment block, posing a serious fire risk. The combustible cladding was similar to that used on Grenfell Tower when the 2017 disaster occurred.

### What happened?

The company had been contracted to remove the potentially dangerous aluminium composite panels and combustible insulation material from an eight-storey building.

When an inspector from the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) first visited the site on 10 January 2022, the inspection revealed that, in preparation for the removal work, combustible material had been left exposed and there were inadequate means of escaping from the scaffold.

The situation had worsened when the inspector returned a few days later, on 21 January 2022. Further combustible material had been exposed with no protection from potential sources of ignition, and combustible cladding material was found lying on residents' balconies. As the building remained occupied during the works, the inspector alerted the fire and rescue service who took their own enforcement action.


The company had been subject to earlier enforcement for similar breaches during cladding removal at a site in London. At that time the company was provided with advice on sustainable compliance with the regulations.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by HSE found that the company had failed to take appropriate precautions to address the risk of fire and to ensure the safety of residents, workers, and others. It had also failed to take account of published guidance on the safe removal of cladding following the previous issue in London.

### What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching regulations 11(1) and 13(1) of the Construction (Design and Management)
Regulations 2015. It was was fined £240,000 and ordered to pay costs of £5,405.



Exposed combustible material on exterior of the building



Combustible material exposed where scaffold is attached to the building

### **Workers fall from height**

### **Summary**

A manufacturer of shopping trolleys has been fined after two men fell approximately three metres when a metal cage they were dismantling collapsed beneath them.

### What happened?

On 12th May 2018, two employees were taking apart a large metal cage as part of an ongoing programme of improvement works.

Following a visual inspection, a decision was made by the employer to hire scaffold towers and scaffolding boards to carry out the work. Once the scaffold towers had been erected the two employees accessed the roof of the cage. They began to remove panels one at a time dropping them to the floor inside the walls of the cage. When several of these panels had been removed the employees noticed that the cage shook in response to movement. The roof suddenly gave way and both employees fell to the floor below.

One of the men suffered a broken pelvis, injured his hip and arm and was off work for 12 months following the incident.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the work had not been properly planned, appropriately supervised, or carried out in a manner that was safe. No consideration was given to whether dismantling the structure could be carried out without working at height or if the work was within the capabilities of the company's employees. None of the employees involved were trained in the assembly of scaffolding towers, and the injured man was

not trained in working at height. An investigation by the City Council came to the same conclusion before primacy was handed to HSE.

### What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 4 (1) of the Work at Height Regulations 2005. It was fined £320,000 and ordered to pay costs of £4016.35.





### Anything else?

The HSE inspector said "All work at height, including one-off activities which fall outside of a company's usual business should be properly planned and appropriate work equipment selected."

### More info

The HSE has guidance on working at height is available at: <a href="https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-at-height/index.htm">https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-at-height/index.htm</a>

# VitalSkills HSQE

# Manager jailed and funfair company fined after a 3-year-old girl died on an inflatable

### **Summary**

An operations manager at a funfair company has been jailed for six months and disqualified as a director for five years after a three-year-old girl died on a Norfolk beach. The funfair company he was working for has been fined £20,000.



### What happened?

The inflatable trampoline the girl had been playing on exploded, ejecting her high into the air. She and a nine-year-old girl were on the trampoline when the blast happened without warning. The older child suffered minor injuries. The company responsible for the inflatable operated a number of bouncy castles, slides and other inflatables on the beach at Gorleston, and at another site on Great Yarmouth beach.

### How did things go wrong?

The Borough Council worked with the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) on a joint prosecution. Charges were brought against the company and its operations manager.

The investigation found that the manager, on behalf of the company, had imported the inflatable trampoline into the UK from China in 2017 and had put it into use without carrying out any of the required testing and certification to ensure it was safe to be used by the public. An importer of such an item equipment must ensure that there has been a proper review of the design, verification that the item has been manufactured in accordance with the design, and a detailed test by a suitable expert on the item's arrival in the UK. None of that had been done here.

In operational terms, there had been no proper risk assessment or work procedure laid down, and the company used undertrained staff paid cash in hand, some of them too young to work without child work permits which were not sought and would not have been granted for work at such a fairground.

Crucially, the defendants allowed the company's inflatables (which included a number of other inflatables besides the trampoline which exploded) to be operated despite not having, and not seeking, any operating instructions from the manufacturer, and without having their inflatables properly annually checked and certified by an independent expert under the ADIPS scheme (a scheme for checks comparable to MoT checks for vehicles).

### What was the outcome?

The company, as importer and site operator, pleaded guilty to

breaching Sections 6(1A)(a) and 3(1) of the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974. It was fined £20,000 and ordered to pay £288,475.62 in costs.

The manager pleaded guilty to offences of having consented to or connived in each of the company's two offences, or those being attributable to his neglect. He was sentenced to six months in custody for each offence, to be served concurrently, and disqualified as a director for five years.

### More info

HSE's guidance can be found at:

https://www.hse.gov.uk/entertainment/fairgrounds/
More specific guidance on sealed inflatables can be found at:
https://www.hse.gov.uk/entertainment/fairgrounds/
inflatables/index.htm





# Member of the public fatally injured by reversing vehicle

### **Summary**

A waste company has been fined over £250,000 after a member of the public was run over by one of its vehicles and died.

### What happened?

The woman was collecting wood from a yard in York, when she was hit by a reversing skip wagon on 29 January 2020. She later died from her injuries. The woman had regularly attended the site with another woman to collect wood.

### How did things go wrong?

A Health and Safety Executive (HSE) investigation into the incident identified that the company had failed to put in place appropriate measures to control access into the main yard area. This allowed unrestricted access to the site so visitors, including members of the public, were exposed to risks from moving vehicles. Company employee's working as hand pickers within the yard were also put at risk of being struck by moving vehicles within the area.

### What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching Section 2(1) and 3(1) of the Health & Safety at Work etc. Act 1974. It was fined £268,000 and ordered to pay £10,130.32 in costs.

### More info

Relevant HSE guidance can be found at: https://www.hse.gov.uk/waste/transport.htm

# Two workers fell from a crane

### **Summary**

A specialist heavy lifting company has been fined after two men fell from height during the assembly of a crane.

### What happened?

On 19 January 2021, two employees of the company fell as a result of a partial collapse of a platform on a crane at the Port of Blyth, Northumberland.

The platform was being installed using four lifting chains, each had a hook and safety clasp. The hooks were looped over metal lugs on the platform in order to lift it into position. The workers removed the two lifting chains nearest the crane structure and moved along the platform to attach the other side to the crane pendants. At this point, the two remaining lifting chains came off the lugs and one side of the platform fell to the ground. Despite both men using safety equipment, they both fell from the platform. One operative fell about four metres to the ground below causing serious injuries, including fractures to ribs, right wrist, and eye socket.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the company failed to properly plan the lift and therefore unsuitable lifting accessories were used. Suitable anchor points for operatives using fall arrest equipment were not identified and there was no consideration of fall distances. Additionally, they failed to provide appropriate instructions and information for work at height and lifting operations.

### What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty of breaching Section 2(1) of the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974. It was fined £24,000 and ordered to pay costs of £9,136.

### Anything else?

The HSE inspector said: "Companies who undertake crane assembly work must properly plan lifting activities and work at height, making certain the equipment selected is suitable for the task.

"All organisations undertaking lifting and work at height must ensure work is properly planned, and the correct equipment is selected. Workers should be provided with adequate health and safety information and instructions."

### More info

HSE guidance on working at height can be accessed at: <a href="https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-at-height/index.htm">https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-at-height/index.htm</a>
Guidance on lifting operations can be accessed at: <a href="https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-equipment-machinery/loler.htm">https://www.hse.gov.uk/work-equipment-machinery/loler.htm</a>





### Worker seriously injured during demolition work

### **Summary**

A labourer is 'lucky to be alive' after part of a Victorian building fell on him during demolition work in North West London.

### What happened?

The 57-year-old had been employed on the site as a labourer for three months before the incident.

The company had been contracted to carry out soft stripping works on the Victorian property as well as the demolition of its roof.

On the morning of 2 March 2022, the man had been tasked with clearing bricks for reclamation. He was working at the corner of the building when a large piece of masonry fell from the unstable roof, landing on top of him.

He was left with significant long term injuries including a broken shoulder and 12 broken ribs, as well as spinal and internal injuries.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the company had failed to adequately assess the risk of falling objects during demolition and failed to implement and enforce adequate exclusion zones.

### What was the outcome?


The company pleaded guilty to breaching Regulation 20 of the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015. They were fined £60,000 and ordered to pay £3,229 costs.

### Anything else?

After the hearing, the HSE inspector said: "This serious incident and the devastation it caused could have been avoided if basic, industry standard control measures had been put in place. "Companies need be aware that we will not hesitate to take appropriate enforcement action against those that fall below the required standards."

### More info

Guidance on safe demolition is available at: https://www.hse.gov.uk/construction/safetytopics/ demolition.htm



### **Manufacturing company** fined half-a-million pounds after forklift truck death

### Summary

A man was killed on 4 June 2019, when the forklift truck he was operating overturned at a depot in Newark.

### What happened?

The 35-year-old father of one, was found in the car park trapped under the roll cage of the vehicle. He had been using a counterbalance forklift truck to move waste material when it clipped a kerbstone at the edge of the roadway and overturned. He was not wearing a seatbelt.

### How did things go wrong?

An investigation by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that the company failed to enforce the use of seatbelts by forklift truck operators. They should have properly risk assessed the use of forklift trucks on their premises and enforced the use of seatbelts. Instead, it was left to individuals to choose whether to wear a seatbelt or not.

### What was the outcome?

The company pleaded guilty to breaching Section 2(1) of the Health & Safety at Work Act 1974. They were fined £500,000 and agreed to pay costs of £7,039.55.

### More info

HSE guidance on managing forklift trucks is available at: https://www.hse.gov.uk/workplacetransport/lift-trucks/ index.htm

# Online training courses from HSQE Ltd



### **Ideal for organisations**

• Bundle offers and multi-user discounts

### **Ideal for training coordinators**

- Assured IOSH, IEMA, IATP, RoSPA, and CPD online training courses
- Dashboard to enrol students and produce management reports

### Ideal for individual learners

- Immediate start with 24/7 access
- 100% online

# **Online IOSH approved courses**

### **IOSH Safety for Executives and Directors**



- R IOSH Approved
- 8 hours approx.
- £95.00 + VAT
- All course fees included in the price

### IOSH Managing Safely®



- IOSH Approved
- 16-24 hours approx.
- £ £125.00 + VAT
- Includes a free Mix and Match 5 bundle

### IOSH Managing Occupational Health and Wellbeing



- lOSH Approved
- 6-8 hours approx.
- £ £125 + VAT
- All course fees included in the price

### IOSH Safety Health and Environment for Construction Site Managers



- IOSH Approved
- 16-24 hours approx.
- £ £195 + VAT
- All course fees included in the price

### **IOSH Safety Health and Environment for Construction Site Workers**



- IOSH Approved
- 6-8 hours approx.
- £ £95.00 + VAT
  - All course fees included in the price

### **IOSH Managing Sustainably**



- IOSH Approved
- 8 hours approx.
- £ £125 + VAT
- All course fees included in the price

### **IOSH Environment for Business**



- IOSH Approved
- 5 hours approx.
- £ £95.00 + VAT
  - All course fees included in the price

### **IOSH Working Safely ®**



- R IOSH Approved
- 6-8 hours approx.
- £ £60.00 + VAT
  - All course fees included in the price



Approved training provider 980



# Online health, safety and welfare short courses

### Abrasive Wheels Awareness



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Asbestos Awareness (Category A)



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 180 minutes approx.
  - £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- 10.50 115.00 + VAI
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Asbestos Awareness (IATP)**



- IATP Assured
- 180 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Asbestos Awareness for Architects and Designers



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 180 minutes approx.
- £ £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Asbestos Awareness for Architects and Designers (IATP)



- 🚶 IATP Assured
- 180 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- 1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Confined Space Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **COSHH Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- 1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Display Screen Equipment (DSE) Awareness**



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £ £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Display Screen Equipment (DSE) Assessor Awareness**



- CPD Assured
- 120 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Electrical Safety Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £ £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- 1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Fire Extinguisher Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 60 minutes approx.
- £ £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Fire Safety Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 5 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- 1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Fire Warden / Fire Marshall



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Health Safety and Welfare for Workers**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 5 4.5 hours approx.
- £ 12.50 £25.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 sele
- 1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Infection Prevention and Control Awareness**



- CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £ £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Ladder Safety Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

**NEW** 

Course

**NEW** 

Course

# VitalSkills HSQE

### Legionella Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Lone Working Awareness**



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Manual Handling Awareness**



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Mental Health Awareness**



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Mental Health Awareness for Managers



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
  - In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Method Statement Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Moving and Handling People Awareness**



- **CPD** Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Noise Awareness**



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Risk Assessment Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Sharps Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Silica Dust Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Slips and Trips Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 60 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Slips, Trips and Falls Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Stress Awareness**



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Stress Awareness for Managers**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Vibration Awareness**



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Work Equipment Awareness



- **RoSPA & CPD Assured**
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Working at Height Awareness**



- RoSPA & CPD Assured
- 90 minutes approx.
- £6.50 £15.00 + VAT
- In the Mix and Match 5 selection



## Online IEMA approved courses

### **IEMA Environmental Sustainability Skills for Managers**



IEMA Assured

10-14 hours approx.

£125.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price

### **IEMA Environmental Sustainability Skills for the Workforce**



IEMA Assured

6-7 hours approx.

£90.00 NOW £70.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price

### **IEMA Pathways to Net Zero**



**IEMA** Assured

10-14 hours approx.

£175.00 + VAT

All course fees included in the price



## **Online environmental** short courses

### **Environmental Awareness for Construction Workers**



**CPD** Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Environmental Awareness at Home**



CPD Assured

60 minutes approx.

£ FREE

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Environmental Awareness at Home and Work**



**CPD** Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Environmental Awareness at Work**



**CPD** Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Environmental Awareness - Giving up Plastic**



**CPD** Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

## Online business short courses

### **Anti-Bribery Awareness**



**CPD** Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Anti-Money Laundering Awareness**



**NEW** 

**CPD** Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Cyber Security Awareness**



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Data Protection and GDPR Awareness**



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Awareness**



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

**NEW** 

Price

# Online safeguarding short courses

### Autism Awareness



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Child Mental Health Awareness**



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Child Online Safety Awareness**



**CPD Assured** 

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Child Sexual Exploitation Awareness**



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Designated Safeguarding Lead (Children)**



CPD Assured

150 minutes approx.

£ £12.50 - £25.00 + VAT

Sorry not in the Mix & Match 5 selection

### **Designated Safeguarding Lead (Vulnerable Adults)**



CPD Assured

150 minutes approx.

£12.50 - £25.00 + VAT

Sorry not in the Mix & Match 5 selection

### **Extremism and Radicalisation Awareness**



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Mental Health Awareness**



🔾 RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Mental Health Awareness for Managers**



RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### **Modern Slavery Awareness**



屎 RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Safeguarding Children Level 1



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

1 In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Safeguarding Children (Advanced) Level 2



CPD Assured

120 minutes approx.

£7.50 - £20.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults Level 1



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults (Advanced) Level 2



Representation CPD Assured

120 minutes approx.

£7.50 - £20.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Safer Recruitment Awareness



CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£ £6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection



## Online short food safety and hygiene courses

### **Food Allergen Awareness**



IoH. RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Food Safety and Hygiene - Level 1



IoH, RoSPA & CPD Assured

60 minutes approx.

£6.00 - £10.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Food Safety and Hygiene (Catering) Level 2



IoH. RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £12.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Food Safety and Hygiene (Manufacturing) Level 2



IoH. RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £12.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

### Food Safety and Hygiene (Retail) Level 2



IoH. RoSPA & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £12.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection



### **HACCP for Catering Level 2**



IoH & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

**NEW** 

Course

**NEW** 

Course

### **HACCP for Manufacturing Level 2**



IoH & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection



**ENDORSED** 

All of our food safety and hygiene courses are endorsed by the IoH

### **HACCP for Retail Level 2**



IoH & CPD Assured

90 minutes approx.

£6.50 - £15.00 + VAT

In the Mix and Match 5 selection

**NEW**